

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10631619 3

CIVIL PROCEDURE II

1979/1980

John Sopinka

CASEBOOK BOOK 2



LAW LIBRARY

NOV 12 1979

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CIVIL PROCEDURE II

1979/1980

John Sopinka

CASEBOOK - BOOK 2

pp. 220 - 452

Ar
1857
-A
S
917
182



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

	<u>Page</u>
(F) <u>NON-SUIT</u>	220
(i) <u>General Procedure in Motion for Non-Suit</u>	220
<i>Martin v. Canadian Pacific Railway</i> , [1932] O.R. 571 (C.A.)	220
<i>McKenzie v. Bergin</i> , [1937] O.W.N. 200 (C.A.)	222
<i>Young v. Rank et al</i> , [1950] 2 K.B. 510 (K.B.)	223
(ii) <u>Election Where MOre than one Defendant</u>	227
<i>Hunt v. MacLeod Construction et al.</i> (1959), 15 D.L.R. (2d) 529	227
<i>McCarroll et al. v. Powell et al.</i> , [1955] 4 D.L.R. 631	230
<i>Johnstone et al. v. Ottawa Electric Railway Company et al.</i> , [1950] O.R. 16	232
<i>Mongraw v. Paddock Tavern Limited et al.</i> , [1950] O.W.N. 463	238
(iii) <u>Defamation Actions</u>	241
<i>Parry v. Aluminum Corporation, Ltd.</i> (1940) 162 L.T. 236	241
<i>Bancroft v. C.P.R.</i> (1920), 53 D.L.R. 272	242
<i>Taylor et al. v. Despard et al.</i> , [1956] O.R. 963 (C.A.)	243
(iv) <u>Motion for Directed Verdict by Plaintiff</u>	255
<i>McCaskill v. Ford Motor Company of Canada Limited et al.</i> (1955), 17 W.W.R. 239	255
(G) <u>THE CASE GOES TO THE JURY</u>	259
(i) <u>Charge to the Jury</u>	259
<i>The Charge to the Jury</i> , The Honourable Mr. Justice W.F. Schroeder, <i>Special Lectures of the Law Society of Upper Canada</i> , 1959, 311	259
(ii) <u>The Verdict</u>	279
Typical Jury Questions	280
<i>Skidmore v. Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co.</i> (1948), 167 F.2d 54	281
(iii) <u>Sending the Jury Back to Reconsider Verdict</u>	289
<i>Terkuc v. Herd</i> , [1958] O.R. 37 (C.A.)	289
(iv) <u>Rejecting the Jury's Findings: Judgment Non- Obstante Veredicto</u>	296
<i>Canadian National Railways v. Joseph Lancia es Qual</i> , [1949] S.C.R. 177	296
<i>Woodward Stores Ltd. v. Kraus</i> , [1954] 3 D.L.R. 321	303
<i>McCormack v. T. Eaton Company Limited</i> , [1963] S.C.R. 180	306
<i>Haynes v. Canadian Pacific Railway Company and Boland</i> (1972), 6 W.W.R. 296 (B.C.C.A.)	310
<i>Sheasgreen et al. v. Morgan et al.</i> , [1952] 1 D.L.R. 48	325
<i>Gobbo et al. v. Rockingham Hardware Ltd. et al.</i> (1972), 24 D.L.R. (3d) 355	330
<i>McCreedy v. Scott et al.</i> (1968), 66 D.L.R. (2d) 364	334

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART V - APPELLATE REVIEW</u>	338
(A) <u>JURISDICTION OF THE COURT OF APPEAL</u>	338
(i) <u>Distinction Between Final and Interlocutory Judgment and Order</u>	338
<i>The Judicature Act, R.S.O. 1970, c.228, s.29</i>	338
<i>Hendrickson v. Kallio, [1932] O.R. 675</i>	338
<i>Clarke v. Millar and Creba, [1970] S.C.R. 584</i>	341
<i>Williston and Rolls, The Law of Civil Procedure (Butterworth's) pp. 1033 to 1037</i>	343
(ii) <u>Appeals from Interlocutory and Final Orders</u>	344
(iii) <u>Perfecting Appeals</u>	344
(B) <u>JURISDICTION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA</u>	345
(i) <u>Obtaining Leave to Appeal</u>	345
<i>Supreme Court Act, R.S.C. 1970, c.S-19, s.37</i>	345
<i>Supreme Court Act, R.S.C. 1970, c.S-19, s.41(1) as amended by S.C. 1974-75, c.18</i>	345
(ii) <u>Procedure in Perfecting Appeal</u>	345
(C) <u>POWERS OF AN APPELLATE COURT WITH RESPECT TO FINDINGS OF FACT</u>	346
(i) <u>From a Jury Verdict</u>	346
<i>Vancouver-Fraser Park District v. Olmstead (1975), 51 D.L.R. (3d) 416</i>	346
<i>McCannell v. McLean, [1937] S.C.R. 341</i>	352
(ii) <u>Credibility of Witnesses</u>	355
<i>Little et al. v. Little, [1958] S.C.R. 566</i>	355
<i>Roche v. Marston, [1951] S.C.R. 494</i>	361
<i>Rhodenizer v. Rodenhiser, [1975] 51 D.L.R. (3d) 425</i>	365
(iii) <u>Findings of Fact by a Trial Judge Without a Jury</u>	370
<i>Stein et al. v. The Ship "Kathy K" et al. (1976), 62 D.L.R. (3d) 1</i>	370
<i>Romaniuk v. Romaniuk (1957), 21 W.W.R. 529</i>	379
<i>Annable v. Coventry (1912), 46 S.C.R. 573</i>	388
<i>Sherman v. Monarch Chrome Ltd. (1959), 15 D.L.R. (2d) 6</i>	390
<i>Re Beardmore, [1935] O.R. 526</i>	395
<i>Benmax v. Austin Motor Co. Ltd., [1955] A.C. 370 (H.L.)</i>	400
<i>Lee v. Rural Municipality of Arthur (1965), 52 W.W.R. 166 (Man.C.A.)</i>	404
<i>Bohl v. Great-West Life Assurance Co. (1974), 40 D.L.R. (3d) 585</i>	410
<i>Tiesmaki et al. v. Wilson et al. (No.2) (1976), 60 D.L.R. (3d) 19</i>	412
(iv) <u>Apportionment of Fault by Trial Judge</u>	414
<i>Potan et al. v. Keleher et al. (1976), 60 D.L.R. (3d), 217</i>	414

(v) <u>Misdirection and Substantial Miscarriage of Justice</u>	419
<i>Judicature Act, R.S.O. 1970, c.228, s.31</i>	419
<i>Arland and Arland v. Taylor, [1955] O.R. 131</i>	420
<i>Mann v. Balaban et al., [1970] S.C.R. 74</i>	428
(vi) <u>Interference with an Award of Damages</u>	437
<i>Gorman v. Hertz Drive Yourself Stations of Ontario Ltd. (1966), 54 D.L.R. (2d) 133</i>	437
<i>Roumieu v. Osborne, [1965] S.C.R. 145</i>	445
<i>Wlodek v. Kosko et al. (1975), 7 O.R. (2d) 611</i>	447
(D) <u>PREPARATION AND ARGUMENT OF APPEAL</u>	448
<i>Advocacy on Appeal, The Honourable Mr. Justice John D. Arnup, Bar Admission Course, 1975-76, Civil Procedure II Special Lectures, 43</i>	448